Interview Summary Application No. 10/646,951 JUST, WESLEY D.
Examiner R. Alexander Smith 2859
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) R. Alexander Smith. (3)
(2) Jeffrey B. Haendler. (4) Date of Interview: 23 September 2005. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-15 and 17-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Newly submitted art filed on September 9, 2005. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☑ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
Date of Interview: 23 September 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ Applicant Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ Applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ Applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ Applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
Date of Interview: 23 September 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-15 and 17-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Newly submitted art filed on September 9, 2005. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □ Plant If Yes, brief description of prior art discussed: Newly submitted art filed on September 9, 2005. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ Was reached. g) □ Was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-15 and 17-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Newly submitted art filed on September 9, 2005. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
Identification of prior art discussed: Newly submitted art filed on September 9, 2005. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
reached, or any other comments: Mr. Haendler contacted Examiner Smith to inform him that an IDS was submitted via certified mail on September 7, 2005 and received on September 9, 2005 which was the date that the Notice of Allowance was mailed. The art submitted has been reviewed by the Examiner and is not considered as pertinent to the claims allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required